

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Table of Authorities.....	i
FACTS.....	2
ARGUMENT.....	6
 I. COUNT I OF THE COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE INGRAM PERIODICALS DID NOT USE THE MARK IN COMMERCE AFTER THE REGISTRATION DATE.....	 7
 II. COUNT II OF THE COMPLAINT ALLEGING INFRINGEMENT OF UNREGISTERED MARK MUST BE DISMISSED.....	 9
 III. COUNT IV AND COUNT V OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT ALLEGING VIOLATION OF NEW YORK'S ANTI-DILUTION STATUTE MUST BE DISMISSED.....	 16
 CONCLUSION.....	 22